

## **FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

### **1) The Update to the Initial Statement of Reasons.**

There are no changes to the initial statement of reasons, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

### **2) Documents Incorporated by Reference**

The following forms are incorporated by reference in Section 225.45, 225.51 and 225.54. These forms are not published in the California Code of Regulations, because it would be impractical and cumbersome to publish these documents in the Code of Regulations:

Business Partner Automation Disclaimer      REG 4020 (Rev. 1/2004)

IT Security Guidelines for Use in BPA Program      (January 2004)

BPA Transaction Procedures and Inventory Requirements Handbook (January 2004)

Changes to the three documents are very minor and are described in the initial statement of reasons. The documents are presently available upon request directly from the department to the public and will be provided to the business partners upon approval of the final rulemaking package.

### **3) Imposition of Mandate on Local Agencies or School Districts.**

The department's regulatory action amending Sections 225.45, 225.51 and 225.54 in Article 3.6, Chapter 1, Division 1, of Title 13, California Code of Regulations, does not impose any mandate on local agencies or school districts and imposes (1) no cost or savings to any state agency, (2) no cost to any local agency or school district that is required to be reimbursed under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of the Government Code, (3) no other nondiscretionary cost or savings to local agencies, and (4) no costs or savings in federal funding to the state. No studies or data were relied upon to make this determination.

### **4) Summary of Comments Received and Department Response.**

The proposal was noticed on April 2, 2004, and made available to the public from April 2, 2004 through May 17, 2004. One comment was received on the regulatory proposal and withdrawn. The commenter decided to submit his comments as a petition. Emails in Tab 6 as commenter originally requested a public hearing that was also withdrawn.

### **5) Determination of Alternatives.**

No reasonable alternative considered by the department, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the department, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which these regulations are proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed

regulations. During the rulemaking process no alternative that would lessen the adverse economic impact on small business was submitted.